

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/971,999	10/05/2001	Diane L. Peterson	ATTA-25,123	. 4951	
25883 75	590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P			PEZZLO, JOHN		
P.O. BOX 741715 DALLAS, TX 75374-1715			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662		
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	09/971,999	PETERSON, DIANE L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	John Pezzlo	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,10 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-9,11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correctio	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So lon is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/971,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- I. Claims 2, 5, and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- 1. Regarding claims 2 and 5 Both claims are missing a period at the end of the claim.
- 2. Regarding claim 15 Line 2, "t he" needs to be corrected.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- II. Claims 1, 2, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sampson et al. (US 5,802,499) hereinafter Sampson.
- 1. Regarding claims 1 and 2 Sampson discloses determining when a transaction has been initiated from the first location and has been transferred to the one of the intermediate nodes in the network, refer to column 12 lines 1 to 21 and column 36 lines 1 to 37 and column 47 lines 35 to 65 and column 48 lines 37 to 60 and column 58 lines 44 to 57 and column 67 lines 34 to 67.

Application/Control Number: 09/971,999

Art Unit: 2662

Sampson discloses logging the initiation of the transaction at a central location on the network, refer to column 12 lines 1 to 21 and column 36 lines 1 to 37 and column 47 lines 35 to 65 and column 48 lines 37 to 60 and column 58 lines 44 to 57.

Sampson discloses determining when the initiated transaction has been completed by transfer of the processed data to the second location on the network from the last of the intermediate nodes in the network that has operated on the data and logging the completion of the transaction at the central location on the network, refer to column 12 lines 1 to 21 and column 36 lines 1 to 37 and column 47 lines 35 to 65 and column 48 lines 37 to 60 and column 58 lines 44 to 57.

- 2. Regarding claims 13 and 14 Sampson discloses there is a plurality of data associated with each of the transactions and transaction parameters associated therewith to define the transaction in the system, and further comprising the step of storing the plurality of data associated with each of the transactions and transaction parameters associated therewith in an archive, refer to Figures 3 and 4A and 9 and column 13 lines 15 to 67 and column 14 and column 38 lines 30 to 67 and column 39 lines 1 to 35.
- 3. Regarding claim 15 Sampson discloses filtering the logged information and storing the filtered logged information in an external log and allowing restricted access thereto by an external system for review thereof, refer to Figures 3 and 4A and 9 and column 13 lines 15 to 67 and column 14 and column 38 lines 30 to 67 and column 39 lines 1 to 35 and column 59 lines 10 to 43.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/971,999 Page 4

Art Unit: 2662

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- III. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampson (same as above).
- 1. Regarding claims 3 and 10 Sampson discloses initiating a process and transferring the information to anther node and informing the central processor.

Sampson dose not expressly disclose monitoring the length of time that the initiated one of the plurality of processes requires for completion at the given one of the intermediate nodes.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to monitor the length of time that the transaction requires in order to determine if a failure has occurred which would effect the credit transactions. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Sampson looks for erroneous entries and calculates timestamps therefore monitoring the length of time would provide for an indication of a failure in order to take corrective action.

Application/Control Number: 09/971,999 Page 5

Art Unit: 2662

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-9, 11, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Sonesh et al. (US 6,046,762) discloses a multimedia telecommunication automatic call distribution system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Pezzlo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/971,999

Art Unit: 2662

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C.

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Jefferson Building

500 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA.

John Pezzlo

17 September 2004

JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER